UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,419	07/30/2003	William Randolph Schmidt	MP0974(13036/15)	7838
	7590 12/01/200 ER GILSON & LIONE	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1039	95	MCLEAN, NEIL R		
CHICAGO, IL	00010		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/630,419	SCHMIDT, WILLIAM RANDOLPH		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Neil R. McLean	2625		

	Neil R. McLean	2625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	plies: (1) an amendment, affidatel Il (with appeal fee) in compliance	vit, or other evidence, we with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advance event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set fortler than SIX MONTHS from the maili ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	ng date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exterunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the ship set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amoun ortened statutory period for reply ori	t of the fee. The appropria ginally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	sideration and/or search (see NC);	OTE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121	•		OTOL 224\
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed.			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 36, and 39-52. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		rill be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but I because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but one of the considered of the c		•	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (P 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	TO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/David K Moore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625	/Neil R. McLean/ Examiner, Art Unit 262	5	

Continuation of 13. Other: Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding Applicant's assertion that Comer et al. fails to teach or even suggest the limitation of a substrate having a processor, a system I/O, a formatter controller and a print server located thereon.

Comer discloses "In a preferred embodiment, ...the microprocessor, which consist of a single chip, is an embedded Internet server having a valid IP address. The chip may include Ethernet MAC and system controllers for (e.g.) memory, DMA, interrupts and timers. The chip may also include cache, I/O, real time operating systems, device driver software and communications protocol software"; Column 3, lines 5-15.

Comer discloses an embedded server/microprocessor 16 in Figure 3. Figure 4 discloses that the processor has ROM and RAM and all of the networking software, protocols and services integrated on "the chip"; Column 4, lines 38-59. Comer further discloses a cache, and system controllers at Column 3, lines 11-13. It is well known in the art that that web servers employ a cache/high speed buffer for temporary storage of data in order to reduce the amount of information that needs to be transmitted across the network. By disclosing a printer with an embedded internet server, memory, and integrated networking software, Comer is implicitly revealing the existence of a print job.

Comer shows in Figure 2, wherein the processor 16 controls the print engine 10 and transforms data into a format that can be read by the printer, and that it uses the ROM and RAM memory to perform tasks. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant with respect to Comer's processor not managing a print queue, and believes that a processor that controls memory, cache, print engine, printheads, reads on the applicants claim(s).